

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND 42.1503

**CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT (CPAR)**

ORIGINAL EVALUATION

**Construction****Name/Address of Contractor:**

Company Name: WYCLIFFE ENTERPRISES, INC.

Division Name:

Street Address: 47 E SOUTH ST STE 201

City: FREDERICK

State/Province: MD Zip Code: 217015617

Country: USA

CAGE Code: 304A3

DUNS Number: 166754726

PSC: Y1JZ NAICS Code: 236220

**Evaluation Type:** Final**Contract Percent Complete:** 100**Period of Performance Being Assessed:** 01/14/2015 - 09/14/2015**Contract Number:** W91ZLK13D0030 0016 **Business Sector & Sub-Sector:** Construction**Contracting Office:** W56ZTN **Contracting Officer:** RENAE MCHENRY **Phone Number:** 443-861-4782**Location of Work:**

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland

**Award Date:** 01/14/2015 **Effective Date:** 01/14/2015**Completion Date:** 09/14/2015 **Estimated/Actual Completion Date:** 09/14/2015**Total Dollar Value:** \$760,794 **Current Contract Dollar Value:** \$760,794**Complexity:** Low **Termination Type:** None**Competition Type:** Full and Open Competition after Exclusion of Sources **Contract Type:** Firm Fixed Price**Key Subcontractors and Effort Performed:****DUNS:****Effort:****DUNS:****Effort:****DUNS:****Effort:****Project Number:** WR# 7Q248**Project Title:**

Replace metal Roof building A4728.

**Contract Effort Description:**

Install a retrofit roof system inclusive of new metal sub-purlins, flute filler insulation and new metal roof panels on A-4728. Additional work elements include the demolition and removal of abandoned roof mounted ventilation equipment and repairing the openings in the existing roof system once the equipment is removed.

**Small Business Utilization:**

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

**Evaluation Areas****Past Rating****Rating**

Quality:

N/A

Satisfactory

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

## FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND 42.1503

|                                |     |              |
|--------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| Schedule:                      | N/A | Satisfactory |
| Cost Control:                  | N/A | Satisfactory |
| Management:                    | N/A | Satisfactory |
| Utilization of Small Business: | N/A | Satisfactory |
| Regulatory Compliance:         | N/A | Satisfactory |
| Other Areas:                   |     |              |
| (1) :                          |     | N/A          |
| (2) :                          |     | N/A          |
| (3) :                          |     | N/A          |

**Variance (Contract to Date):**

Current Cost Variance (%): Variance at Completion (%):

Current Schedule Variance (%):

**Assessing Official Comments:**

ADDITIONAL/OTHER: Contract was completed on time and final had an area for cleanup. Contractor did a nice job.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Given what I know today about the contractor's ability to perform in accordance with this contract or order's most significant requirements, I would recommend them for similar requirements in the future.

**Name and Title of Assessing Official:**

Name: CARMEN PISELLI

Title: Construction Control Rep

Organization: DPW E/C

Phone Number: 410-306-1163 Email Address: carmen.h.piselli.civ@mail.mil

Date: 09/30/2015

**Contractor Comments:**

ADDITIONAL/OTHER: After review, I respectfully request clarification on why we were given a "satisfactory" rating and not a "very good" or "exceptional" rating based on all the positive feedback our APG team received for this project. Based on FARS definitions for ratings, we believe we are not receiving the rating our work merits. Additionally, the current rating will not be favorable in pursuing government work and will cause further hardship as an EDWOSB. Wycliffe has an outstanding track record of receiving very good to mostly exceptional CPARS evaluations.

There were no problems on this project; we performed the work as per the SOW; and the contract was completed on time with no additional cost to the Government. At the final inspection, there were only a couple items that needed to be addressed, two were very minor on the roof that were corrected the next day; and one was to supply and install a ladder which has been completed. It is important to note that we performed additional work by adding platforms at the tops of the ladders for additional safety and easier access getting on and off the ladders on the roof at no additional cost; benefiting the government. Lastly, the Assessing Official Comments stated "...final had an area for cleanup.". This leads us to believe that something was left onsite that needed to be cleaned up. After speaking with our APG team, we don't have any record of any clean up issues and were actually commended for our project. Can someone please clarify this statement or remove from CPARS.

Based on above, I strongly believe we should have at a minimum received a "very good", if not "exceptional" rating.

Would you be so kind as to re-evaluate and let us know your findings. I am open to a discussion at your earliest convenience and appreciate your help in this.

CONCURRENCE: I do not concur with this evaluation and request that it be reevaluated.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND 42.1503

**Name and Title of Contractor Representative:**

Name: CAROLE CARTY

Title: Sr. Contract Administrator

Phone Number: 240-629-8662 x231 Email Address: ccarty@wycliffeinc.com

Date: 11/05/2015

**Review by Reviewing Official:**

**Name and Title of Reviewing Official:**

Name:

Title:

Organization:

Phone Number: Email Address:

Date:

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY