

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND 42.1503

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT (CPAR)

INCOMPLETE-RATED

Construction**Name/Address of Contractor:**

Company Name: ONOPA SERVICES LLC

Division Name:

Street Address: 110 W 1ST ST

City: SANFORD

State/Province: X Zip Code: 32771

Country: X

DUNS Number: 968937420

PSC: Z2PA NAICS Code: 238990

Evaluation Type: Final**Contract Percent Complete:****Period of Performance Being Assessed:** 09/30/2013 - 08/06/2014**Contract Number:** N6945013C4323 **Business Sector & Sub-Sector:** Construction**Contracting Office:** KEY WEST FEAD **Contracting Officer:** RENEE MIMS **Phone Number:** 3052932357**Location of Work:**

Buildings V932, V1515 and 4113 Sigsbee Annex.

Award Date: 09/30/2013 **Effective Date:** 09/30/2013**Completion Date:** 08/06/2014 **Actual Completion Date:** 07/29/2014**Total Dollar Value:** \$650,929 **Current Contract Dollar Value:****Complexity:** Medium **Termination Type:** None**Competition Type:** Not Competed **Contract Type:** Firm Fixed Price**Key Subcontractors and Effort Performed:****DUNS:****Effort:****DUNS:****Effort:****DUNS:****Effort:****Project Number:** N6945013C4323**Project Title:**

Demo V932 & V1515, Paving at 4113

Contract Effort Description:

DEMO V-932 & V-1515, PAVING @ 4113, NAS KEY WEST

Small Business Utilization:

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No

Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

Evaluation Areas**Past Rating****Rating**

Quality:

N/A

Very Good

Schedule:

N/A

Exceptional

Cost Control:

N/A

Very Good

Management:

N/A

Exceptional

Utilization of Small Business:	N/A	Very Good
Regulatory Compliance:	N/A	N/A
Other Areas:		
(1) SAFETY:		Exceptional
(2) :		N/A
(3) :		N/A

Variance (Contract to Date):

Current Cost Variance (%): Completion Cost Variance (%): 0

Current Schedule Variance (%): Completion Schedule Variance (%): 0

Assessing Official Comments:

QUALITY: Contractor maintained a quality project both with the demolished building sites as well as the parking lot. Any issues with the initial scope were resolved at no cost to the government, and done so in a way as to be a betterment to the government. This project went very smoothly. There were no issues with the quality at all, and at the end of the project, we got a very nice parking lot.

SCHEDULE: Contractor finished ahead of schedule with the entire project. His approach was to move crews from one site to the other, and the work went ahead of his schedule throughout the project. Despite having issues with the availability of trucks to remove the demolished materials, the contractor was able to obtain other drivers and keep ahead of schedule.

COST CONTROL: No change orders were issued or proposed. Contractor stayed ahead of schedule, on budget and provided a great product.

MANAGEMENT: The management team for this project ran flawlessly. There were no issues with the way the project ran at all, and the team was proactive in making sure all resources were on site when needed. While this area saw a very slim contractor availability during the time of this contract, it could not be seen during the construction period.

UTILIZATION OF SMALL BUSINESS: Contractor is a small business.

OTHER AREAS: Safety on the project was exceptional. The contractor's management team paid strict attention to the safety of all personnel on site, and was proactive in providing safety talks to new employees as well as anyone new on the site. There were zero incidents despite the high degree of safety risk associated with building demolition. The contractor laid out a great plan for demolishing the building, segregating the waste and removing the waste from the sites. Further, the contractor's safety was exceptional on the parking lot portion of the project. They made sure access was available for all personnel using the building during construction, and modified the drainage swales for the site to conform with the current and new plans for the soccer/football fields.

RECOMMENDATION:

Given what I know today about the contractor's ability to perform in accordance with this contract or order's most significant requirements, I would recommend them for similar requirements in the future.

Name and Title of Assessing Official:

Name: GARY NEAL

Title: PM&E Branch Head

Organization: NAS Key West FEAD

Phone Number: 3052932037 Email Address: gary.neal@navy.mil

Date: 11/06/2014

Contractor Comments:**Name and Title of Contractor Representative:**

Name:

Title:

Phone Number: Email Address:

Date:

Review by Reviewing Official:

Name and Title of Reviewing Official:

Name:

Title:

Organization:

Phone Number: Email Address:

Date:

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY