. . . .

	form I	9 Contractor Reference Questionnaire					
8.	-	The MATRICLIAN Co.					
-	Referen	ice Submitted By: Guy J. MATRICCIAN, III					
	Project	Dare:					
	Project Descrip	mion: 48" Water Transmision Mund					
	Origin Final (Reason	Contract Value: 4,900,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,00					
	Was the project design/build? No. What was the contractor's role on the project? Subcontractor						
		ry of Work					
	1.	Describe the physical quality of the work performed. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Poor Excellent					
	2.	Were significant deficiencies discovered during performance or after contract completion? Yes No					
	3.	If deficiencies were discovered were they satisfactorily addressed and completed in a timely manner? Yes No					
	Exec	ntion of the Work					
	4,	How effective was the contractor in identifying and resolving potential problems? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Not effective Very effective					
	5.	Rule the degree of difficulty or complexity of this project. (Critical construction phasing, working with other agencies, deadlines, coordination of other primes or subcontractors, working in high traffic public areas, etc.) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Not difficult Very difficult					

	Pain the i	Rate the contractor's performance in respect to the degree of difficulty or									
φ.	complex:	ry of the	e projes 3	я.	4	5	6	7	③	9 Excell	10 eni
	P	007								Chro.	
7.	Did the c		हेन्स्य स्थापन	y Suffi	cient 19 4	source: S	to the	ртојс 7	a? (8)	9	10
	7	idus tol	cient							Suffic	ieni
8.	How we	li did th	e contr	acior t	panage	Subcor	NACIOE	dusiz	consultat g	nis?	10
-	\$	2		3	4	5	6	7		satisfac	
		Insatisf	-						• "	GURIGAMA	
9.	How wo	ald you	rate th	e cont	meror,	s safety	person	nance 7	e? 	(6)	10
	Ţ	, ,	\$	3	4	5	6	•	•	Exce	
)	,001									-
Sche									_		
10.	Did the	contrac	lor me	s critic	eal sch	edule/m	ileston	e date	:≤? 8	9	10
		•	2	3	4	5	б	7	0	,	Yes
		No									
Construction Means and Methods Skills											
11.	Did the	CONTRAC	tor der	nonsារ	ate ade	quate te	chnica	knov	wied <u>s</u> e to	, <u>Eucees</u> (ifully
	CACCINE		2 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	(10)
		Poar	-							Exc	:l)eni
Cost Control											
12.	Was th	ç contra	ictor el	fective	in cor	trolling	costs (n the	project?		(10)
		i Poor	Z	3	4	5	ć	7	. 8	,	ellent
t	5 07.4 A.			:		inend of	white a	nder r	equests?		
13.	Did the	Yes	ctor to	DADLI 20	BONEAU (No		1000	94 mas:		
14,	Were o	hange (zgotiat	ions di 4	Micult? 5	6	7	8	9	10
		Very I	2)ifficul	1	~ ,	-	-	ŕ	-	No	

Form 1.9 - Contractor Reference Questionnaire

2

STRENGENCY SERVERSE

Shond's Ann

15.	s there any litigation on this project? Yes If yes please describe the nature and outcome of the litigation.										
Cust	omer Satisfaction										
16.	How would you describe the contractor's responsiveness and your overall satisfaction with their performance?										
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Not Satisfied Very Satisfied										
17.	What are the company's strong points or what do you like most about them?										
	of spart or construction. Strong in overes.										
₹8.	What are the company's weak points or what do you like least about them?										
	No Weak prints!!										
19.	Compared to other contractors you have worked with how would you rate them? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Low High										
Sign	ature: Ley J- falince for										